

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/644,793	08/24/2000	Jyoji Mishina	K-1825CIP2	2913
75	08/08/2002			
Kanesaka and Takeuchi			EXAMINER	
1423 Powhatan Alexandria, VA			BUCHANAN, CHRISTOPHER R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3627	
			DATE MAILED: 08/08/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/644,793	MISHINA ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher R Buchanan	3627	1
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 18 July 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average in a rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the control of the control	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	y to a ition in
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ree have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 32 as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main	g date of the final rejecting HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approper the second of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 18 July 2002. Appearance of CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 2	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o		in
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be		NOTELL	
(a) they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or			
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	S.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):		
		·	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NO	T place the
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-16.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	,		•
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Exami	iner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449)	· /	
10. Other:		VIII	3/2
Chus Bukman 7/29/02		Kenneth R. Rice Primary Examiner	

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)



Application No.

The amended claim 14 would be rejected using a combination of the rejections for claims 1 and 14 as set forth in the final rejection, since the amended claim 14 merely includes the limitations from claim 1 and the old claim 14.